

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/913,977 08/20/2001                    |             | Stephen Proulx       | MCA-449 PC/US       | 8230             |  |
| 29621 7590 12/02/2004                    |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| MYKROLIS CORPORATION<br>129 CONCORD ROAD |             |                      | POPOVICS, ROBERT J  |                  |  |
| BILLERICA, MA 01821-4600                 |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 1724                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ۸ | W  | V |
|---|----|---|
|   | vv | • |

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |            |
|--|---|---|------------|
|  | 09/913,977  | PROULX ET AL.   | •          |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |            |
| ,  | Robert J Popovics   | 1724  |            |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet  | with the correspondence addre   | ess        |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply.  If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm. | unication. |
| Status   |   |   |            |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/27  | 7/04.   |   |            |
|  | action is non-final.  |   |            |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar   |   | atters, prosecution as to the mo  | erits is   |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   |   |   |            |
| Disposition of Claims  | •   |   | •          |
| •  |   |   |            |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-18 and 25-27 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14,18 and 25-27 is 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-9 and 15-17 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | s/are withdrawn from co   | onsideration.   |            |
| Application Papers   |   |   |            |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  | epted or b)  objected t<br>drawing(s) be held in abey<br>ion is required if the drawi   | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1   |            |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |            |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in<br>ity documents have been<br>(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | Application No In received in this National Sta   | ge         |
|  |   |   |            |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |            |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | Paper No. 5) Notice of  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO-152                                     | )          |
| .S. Patent and Trademark Office  | 6)  Other:  | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20  | 0041201    |

Art Unit: 1724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/27/04 has been entered.

## Double Patenting

Claims 1-9 and 15-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 12-31 of copending Application No. 10/917,881. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is obvious to eliminate components and their corresponding functions. The instant claims recite substantially the same subject matter.

Claims 1-9 and 15-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6-11 and 22 of copending Application No. 10/918,104. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, use of the process recited in 10/918,104 would render the instant claims obvious.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert J Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164.

Robert James Popovics
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

December 1, 2004